

Northumbria Research Link

Citation: Tallent, Jamie, de Weymarn, Craig, Ahmun, Robert and Jones, Thomas (2020) The impact of all-rounders and team injury status on match and series success in international cricket. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 38 (24). pp. 2754-2757. ISSN 0264-0414

Published by: Taylor & Francis

URL: <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1798721>
<<https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2020.1798721>>

This version was downloaded from Northumbria Research Link:
<http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/id/eprint/44374/>

Northumbria University has developed Northumbria Research Link (NRL) to enable users to access the University's research output. Copyright © and moral rights for items on NRL are retained by the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. Single copies of full items can be reproduced, displayed or performed, and given to third parties in any format or medium for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge, provided the authors, title and full bibliographic details are given, as well as a hyperlink and/or URL to the original metadata page. The content must not be changed in any way. Full items must not be sold commercially in any format or medium without formal permission of the copyright holder. The full policy is available online: <http://nrl.northumbria.ac.uk/policies.html>

This document may differ from the final, published version of the research and has been made available online in accordance with publisher policies. To read and/or cite from the published version of the research, please visit the publisher's website (a subscription may be required.)



**Northumbria
University**
NEWCASTLE



UniversityLibrary

The Impact of All-Rounders and Team Injury Status on Match and Series Success in International Cricket.

¹Jamie Tallent, ²Craig de Weymarn, ²Robert Ahmun, ³Thomas W. Jones,

¹School of Sport Health and Applied Science, St Mary's University, Twickenham, UK

²England and Wales Cricket Board, Leicestershire, UK

³Department of Sport Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK

Running Head: Injury Status and Team Performance

Word Count: 2450

Address for correspondence:

St Mary's University

Waldgrave Road

Twickenham

TW1 4SX

United Kingdom

Tel: +44 20 8240 4000

Fax: +44 20 8240 4255

Email: jamie.tallent@stmarys.ac.uk

The Impact of All-Rounders and Team Injury Status on Match and Series Success in International Cricket.

ABSTRACT

The association between injury status of the team and all-rounders on match outcome were investigated in international cricketers. Time and non-time loss injuries were recorded over a 32-month period in 47 senior international cricketers. Team injury status was expressed on a 1-4 scale from “fully available” to “unavailable”. Generalised linear model (GLM) was employed to examine whether team injury status and the injury status of all-rounders (AR) and single skill (SS) players was associated with the outcome of the match or series. A significant association between team injury status and match and series outcome was found. Team mean injury status was 12.0% lower ($P < 0.001$; ES = 1.06) during successful series wins and 7.8% lower ($P < 0.001$; ES = 0.66) during successful match outcomes. Skill group injury status was also significantly associated with match ($P=0.001$) and series ($P=0.001$) outcomes with AR exhibiting greater injury status than SS cricketers ($P < .001$, ES = 0.44). All injuries, irrespective of time lost, influence the outcome of international cricket series’ and matches with injuries to AR having a greater impact on the results. The findings will impact on the injury prevention strategies in elite cricket.

Key Words: Injury and Prevention, Game Analysis, Performance, Non-time loss Injuries

INTRODUCTION

International cricket comprises of a high volume and density of matches. Currently, there is an excess of 400 days of international cricket a year across all Test match playing nations (McNamara, Gabbett, & Naughton, 2017). A fifth of the annual injury prevalence in fast bowling may be attributed to high workload (Orchard, Kountouris, & Sims, 2016). While a plethora of research has been generated in recent years targeting injury prevention/reduction across all positions, (Ahmun, McCaig, Tallent, Williams, & Gabbett, 2018; Orchard et al., 2015a, 2015b; Warren, Williams, McCaig, & Trewartha, 2018), it is surprising that the influence of injury status on match outcome has not yet been explored in cricket.

Within sport it is commonly accepted that injury will have a negative influence on the success of an individual or team. Currently, relatively little research exists to support this notion. In individual sports such as athletics, the loss of training time appears to be a major determinate of success or failure (Ray-Smith and Drew, 2016). Within team sports, there are slightly more contradictory findings, although the consensus is generally that injury has a negative influence on the success of the team (Arnason et al., 2004; Dauty and Collon, 2011; Eirale, Tol, Farooq, Smiley, & Chalabi, 2013; Hagglund et al., 2013; Podlog, Buhler, Pollack, Hopkins, & Burgess, 2015; Ray-Smith and Drew, 2016; Williams et al., 2016). Across 11-years, Hagglund, et al. (2013) showed injuries influenced success in football domestic leagues and European competition. To date, only injury incidence, time loss or burden have been assessed in studies investigating the influence and impact of injury on performance. To the best of our knowledge, these studies have failed to consider non-time-loss injuries.

The prevalence of non-time-loss injuries exceeds time-loss injuries in sport (Kerr et al., 2017). Thus, it is suggested that current injury and performance literature does not fully reflect the impact of injury on sport performance. By nature, cricket is a non-contact sport where professionals are subject to high workloads, increasing the susceptibility to overuse injuries (Orchard, Kountouris, et al., 2016). More specifically, the prevalence of non-time loss injuries

has been reported as three time higher than time-loss injuries in international cricket batters and bowlers (Ranson, et al., 2013). It is therefore common for cricketers to train and compete with injuries. Non-time loss injuries have the potential to compromise fielding position, technique and potentially performance in cricket (Dutton, Tam, & Gray, 2019). It is therefore essential that future injury analytical studies should reflect the injury management of cricketers during competition. However, their impact on match outcome is unknown.

Injury and team success literature has also focused on the team as an entirety rather than identifying individual roles within a team. Understanding the impact or the contribution to success that individual roles or players have, may assist in the management of resources in injury reduction programmes. The differences in team roles or positions on team success is yet to be considered. Cricket has specialist single skilled players (batters, fast bowlers, spin bowlers and wicketkeepers) as well as all-rounders who are selected specifically for both their batting and bowling ability. Therefore, the injury status of all-rounders could be hypothesised to have a greater influence on the team success when compared to single skilled cricketers.

The purpose of this study was to investigate the impact of injuries on match and series outcome in international cricket over a 32 month period. Injuries were recorded in-line with the recent cricket injury consensus statement (Orchard et al., 2016) and the influence on match and series outcome analysed. The secondary aim of the study was to analyse the impact of single skilled (are selected primarily to bat or bowl) to all-rounders (are selected to bat and bowl) on match and series outcome in international cricket.

METHODS

Participants and Sample

Forty-seven players (age 26 ± 3 years, stature 1.84 ± 0.65 m, body mass 84.5 ± 7.9 kg) were involved in the 32 month (29/09/15 – 29/05/18) observational study. Participants included all players competing for the national team and consisted of 18 batters, 13 fast bowlers, 8

spinners, 5 all-rounders and 3 wicketkeepers. Number of matches, series and frequencies of wins, losses, draws, ties and no results across Tests, One-Day Internationals (ODIs) and Twenty20 (T20) contests are presented in Table 1. Project approval was gained through the local ethics committee, in line with the declaration of Helsinki. Participants consented to the use of this data as part of standard practices.

****Insert Table 1 here****

Injury Data

For international matches, injury status was recorded for each match day by the team's physiotherapist. To account for medical attention conditions, injury data was recorded in-line with the recent international cricket consensus statement on injury surveillance (Orchard, Ranson, et al., 2016). Each player's injury status was recorded on a 1-4 scale:

1. Fully available for training and matches, with no injury or illness
2. Fully available for training and matches, but with an injury or illness
3. Available for selection in a major match, but with modified activity due to injury or illness
4. Unavailable for selection in a major match due to injury or illness

Time loss injuries were category 4, whilst category 2 and 3 were medical conditions that were being actively treated and monitored but did not impact the physical availability of the player in question. These categories included any pre-existing medical conditions.

Match outcome

Match and series outcome was recorded for all international matches over the 32 month period (29/09/15 – 29/05/18). Only International Cricket Council sanctioned matches were included in the analysis. Series were defined as more than a single match. World Cup and triangular series were included in the analysis and winning series were defined as more matches won than lost. Test match, One-Day and Twenty20 series were analysed independently.

Statistics

Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS statistical analysis software (SPSS, version 24, Chicago, IL), with alpha levels of 0.05 set prior to data analysis. Analyses of the influence of team injury status and outcomes was split into matches and series with injury status across each day of the match in series being analysed. Analyses were also split to determine if the injury status of “All-Rounders” (AR) or “Single Skill” (SS) players influenced the outcome of matches or series. AR and SS classifications were defined based on whether the player was selected to ideally contribute as a batter (SS), bowler (SS) or both (AR). Wicketkeepers were defined as SS cricketers.

Generalised linear models were employed to examine whether team injury status and the injury status of AR and SS players was associated with the outcome of series or matches. Team injury status was modelled as the dependant variable and initially series or match outcome (win or loss) were set as factors, with skill group (AR or SS) being added as a covariate once the influence of team injury status alone had been determined and model fit established. In all cases, model fit was established via visual inspection.

In addition, probabilistic magnitude-based inferences about the true value of outcomes were employed (Batterham and Hopkins, 2006). Dependent variables were analysed to determine the effect of the designated condition as the difference in change following each condition. To calculate the possibility of difference, the smallest worthwhile effect for each dependent variable was the smallest standardized change in the mean. ie: 0.2 times the between-subject SD for baseline values of all participants. This method allows practical inferences to be drawn using the approach identified by Batterham and Hopkins (2006). Furthermore, standardized effect size (Cohen’s d) analyses were used to interpret the magnitude of any differences (Cohen, 1992). As inferential statistics were employed here, confidence intervals were set at 90% as this is consistent with an unclear effect having >5% chance of being positive and >5% chance of being negative.

RESULTS

****Insert Table 2 here****

The generalised linear mixed model indicated that the outcome of series' and matches were associated with team injury status (Table 2). Furthermore, the model also indicated that the injury status of specific skill groups (AR and SS) were associated with the outcome of a match or series, as presented in Table 2. The details of team injury status' in winning and losing series and matches are presented in Table 3. Across all matches and series, the injury status of AR (1.50 ± 0.43) was greater than that of SS (1.35 ± 0.15) players ($P < .001$, $ES = 0.44$, 9.6%, difference possible). Details of the injury status of AR and SS players during winning and losing matches and series are presented in Table 4.

****Insert Table 3 here****

****Insert Table 4 here****

DISCUSSION

The main findings of the study show that team injury status influenced the match and series outcome of international cricket. Furthermore, the AR injury status had an association on the match outcome when compared to the SS cricketers across all forms of cricket.

The results (Table 2) of this study agree with findings from previous research which suggests that injuries have a negative impact on the successful outcome of team performance (Eirale, et al., 2013; Hagglund, et al., 2013; Williams, et al., 2016). Several possibilities exist for the reduction in injury incidence or prevalence and improved team performance. The most likely explanation is the ability of coaches to select an optimal team for each match, increasing the chance of success (Hagglund, Walden, & Ekstrand, 2009). Further factors such as the psychological impact of injury can also not be excluded (Ivarsson, Johnson, & Podlog, 2013), as injuries to teammates can have negative effects on the mental state of the whole team (Hurley, 2016). Although these results indicate a clear association between injury and successful outcome, it also needs to be recognised that players spend more time in the field during Test matches which are lost. This potentially increases the risk of overuse injuries, particularly to bowlers (Orchard, Kountouris, et al., 2016). Conversely, winning sides often bowl less and fast bowlers are exposed to less workload. Based on the findings of this study, winning and losing may therefore directly influence the injury status of the squad.

190

191 This study provides a thorough overview of the impact of injury within international cricket
192 on performance (table 2). The nature of international cricket is that if a significant long-term
193 time loss injury occurs, the player will be released from the international squad and return to
194 their domestic county medical team to be rehabilitated in conjunction with the international
195 medical staff. As a result, the injury data of this study largely reflects the management and
196 severity of long-term non-time loss injuries within the current squad. It can therefore be
197 suggested that less modifications in match roles for players (such as not bowling if the player
198 is an AR, or fielding in a certain position) as a result of injuries and illnesses will enhance the
199 success of a professional cricket team.

200

201 The team injury and match results association (Table 2) and lower injury status during winning
202 matches (Table 3) in this study are similar to those reported in other team sports such as
203 rugby and football (Hagglund, et al., 2009; Hagglund, et al., 2013; Williams, et al., 2016). While
204 these sports are largely reliant on synergy between teammates to win, the success of a cricket
205 team is more likely to occur as a result of several individual performances. Therefore, it seems
206 appropriate that the injury status of the AR, have a greater influence on the outcome of the
207 match or series as they are required to contribute to the batting and bowling performance of
208 the team. As fast bowlers have the highest injury incidence compared to batters, spinners and
209 wicketkeepers, combining these in a single group may be over simplistic. Therefore, the
210 importance of skill specific roles (spin bowling, fast bowling, batting) should be determined in
211 future research. Finally, the importance of the player to the team needs to be acknowledge
212 in future studies. For example, an AR who is one of the better players in the team may have
213 a greater influence on the results compared to an AR who is selected to provide balance in
214 batting and bowling options within the team.

215

216 Professionals working within team sports invest a significant amount of time and resources
217 into developing an athlete's capacity for load, thus increasing their overall injury resilience
218 (Thorpe, Atkinson, Drust, & Gregson, 2017). The results of this study suggest that an

improvement in team injury status, particularly around AR, will have a positive effect on the success of a cricket team. Consequently, practitioners should focus a significant amount of their time, in the management of workloads and injury prevention protocols for AR. This notion is further supported given that injury status was on average higher during the 32-month period of observation in the AR when compared to the SS cricketers (Table 4). Thus, there is a greater capacity to improve the injury status of the AR. However, whether this may have a negative effect of the injury status of the SS cricketers is unclear.

CONCLUSION

Injuries to AR and SS cricketers influence the outcome of international cricket matches and series. Furthermore, injury to AR significantly affects the outcome of matches more than SS cricketers. Adequate preparation periods that focus on injury and illness preventions strategies should be planned prior to international tours and domestic competitions. It may be pertinent to focus available resources and provision of care on AR.

246 Reference List

- 247 Ahmun, R., McCaig, S., Tallent, J., Williams, S., & Gabbett, T. (2018). Association of Daily Workload,
248 Wellness, Injury and Illness during Tours in International Cricketers. *Int J Sports Physiol*
249 *Perform*, pp. 1-27.
- 250 Arnason, A., Sigurdsson, S. B., Gudmundsson, A., Holme, I., Engebretsen, L., & Bahr, R. (2004).
251 Physical fitness, injuries, and team performance in soccer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*, 36(2), pp.
252 278-285.
- 253 Batterham, A. M., & Hopkins, W. G. (2006). Making meaningful inferences about magnitudes. *Int J*
254 *Sports Physiol Perform*, 1(1), pp. 50-57.
- 255 Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. *Psychol Bull*, 112(1), pp. 155-159.
- 256 Dauty, M., & Collon, S. (2011). Incidence of injuries in French professional soccer players. *Int J Sports*
257 *Med*, 32(12), pp. 965-969.
- 258 Dutton, M., Tam, N., & Gray, J. (2019). Incidence and impact of time loss and non-time-loss shoulder
259 injury in elite South African cricketers: A one-season, prospective cohort study. *J Sci Med*
260 *Sport*
- 261 Eirale, C., Tol, J. L., Farooq, A., Smiley, F., & Chalabi, H. (2013). Low injury rate strongly correlates
262 with team success in Qatari professional football. *Br J Sports Med*, 47(12), pp. 807-808.
- 263 Hagglund, M., Walden, M., & Ekstrand, J. (2009). UEFA injury study--an injury audit of European
264 Championships 2006 to 2008. *Br J Sports Med*, 43(7), pp. 483-489.
- 265 Hagglund, M., Walden, M., Magnusson, H., Kristenson, K., Bengtsson, H., & Ekstrand, J. (2013).
266 Injuries affect team performance negatively in professional football: an 11-year follow-up of
267 the UEFA Champions League injury study. *Br J Sports Med*, 47(12), pp. 738-742.
- 268 Hurley, O. A. (2016). Impact of Player Injuries on Teams' Mental States, and Subsequent
269 Performances, at the Rugby World Cup 2015. *Front Psychol*, 7, p 807.
- 270 Ivarsson, A., Johnson, U., & Podlog, L. (2013). Psychological predictors of injury occurrence: a
271 prospective investigation of professional Swedish soccer players. *J Sport Rehabil*, 22(1), pp.
272 19-26.
- 273 Kerr, Z. Y., Lynall, R. C., Roos, K. G., Dalton, S. L., Djoko, A., & Dompier, T. P. (2017). Descriptive
274 Epidemiology of Non-Time-Loss Injuries in Collegiate and High School Student-Athletes. *J*
275 *Athl Train*, 52(5), pp. 446-456.
- 276 McNamara, D. J., Gabbett, T. J., & Naughton, G. (2017). Assessment of Workload and its Effects on
277 Performance and Injury in Elite Cricket Fast Bowlers. *Sports Med*, 47(3), pp. 503-515.
- 278 Orchard, J. W., Blanch, P., Paoloni, J., Kountouris, A., Sims, K., Orchard, J. J., & Brukner, P. (2015a).
279 Cricket fast bowling workload patterns as risk factors for tendon, muscle, bone and joint
280 injuries. *Br J Sports Med*, 49(16), pp. 1064-1068.
- 281 Orchard, J. W., Blanch, P., Paoloni, J., Kountouris, A., Sims, K., Orchard, J. J., & Brukner, P. (2015b).
282 Fast bowling match workloads over 5-26 days and risk of injury in the following month. *J Sci*
283 *Med Sport*, 18(1), pp. 26-30.
- 284 Orchard, J. W., Kountouris, A., & Sims, K. (2016). Incidence and prevalence of elite male cricket
285 injuries using updated consensus definitions. *Open Access J Sports Med*, 7, pp. 187-194.
- 286 Orchard, J. W., Ranson, C., Olivier, B., Dhillon, M., Gray, J., Langley, B., . . . Finch, C. F. (2016).
287 International consensus statement on injury surveillance in cricket: a 2016 update. *Br J*
288 *Sports Med*, 50(20), pp. 1245-1251.
- 289 Podlog, L., Buhler, C. F., Pollack, H., Hopkins, P. N., & Burgess, P. R. (2015). Time trends for injuries
290 and illness, and their relation to performance in the National Basketball Association. *J Sci*
291 *Med Sport*, 18(3), pp. 278-282.
- 292 Raysmith, B. P., & Drew, M. K. (2016). Performance success or failure is influenced by weeks lost to
293 injury and illness in elite Australian track and field athletes: A 5-year prospective study. *J Sci*
294 *Med Sport*, 19(10), pp. 778-783.

295 Thorpe, R. T., Atkinson, G., Drust, B., & Gregson, W. (2017). Monitoring Fatigue Status in Elite Team-
296 Sport Athletes: Implications for Practice. *Int J Sports Physiol Perform*, 12(Suppl 2), pp. S227-
297 S234.

298 Warren, A., Williams, S., McCaig, S., & Trewartha, G. (2018). High acute:chronic workloads are
299 associated with injury in England & Wales Cricket Board Development Programme fast
300 bowlers. *J Sci Med Sport*, 21(1), pp. 40-45.

301 Williams, S., Trewartha, G., Kemp, S. P., Brooks, J. H., Fuller, C. W., Taylor, A. E., . . . Stokes, K. A.
302 (2016). Time loss injuries compromise team success in Elite Rugby Union: a 7-year
303 prospective study. *Br J Sports Med*, 50(11), pp. 651-656.

304

305

306

Table 1. Total Test, One-Day International (ODI), Twenty20 (T20) series and matches played and frequencies of wins, losses, draws, ties and no results (and % of total) over the 32-month observational period.

	Total	Win	Loss	Draw	Tie	No result
Series						
Test	8	4 (50.0%)	3 (37.5%)	1 (12.5%)	n/a	n/a
ODI	11	9 (81.8%)	2 (18.2%)	0 (0.0%)	n/a	n/a
T20	4	2 (50.0%)	2 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	n/a	n/a
Matches						
Test	28	12 (42.9%)	12 (42.9%)	4 (14.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
ODI	42	29 (69.0%)	10 (23.8%)	n/a	1 (2.4%)	2 (4.8%)
T20	21	10 (47.6%)	10 (47.6%)	n/a	1 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)

Table 2. Mean \pm SD team and skill group injury statuses in series and matches over the 32-month observational period, 90% confidence intervals (CI) and generalised linear model (GLM) associations with match outcomes are also presented.

Team injury status			GLM <i>P</i> – value (<i>X</i> ²)
	Win (90% CI)	Loss (90% CI)	
Series			
Team	1.41 ± 0.16 (1.38 - 1.43)	1.60 ± 0.20 (1.57 - 1.63)	<0.001 (52)
Skill group			
Single Skill	1.38 ± 0.17 (1.35 - 1.41)	1.58 ± 0.19 (1.55 - 1.62)	0.001 (48)
All rounder	1.45 ± 0.43 (1.39 - 1.52)	1.67 ± 0.50 (1.59 - 1.76)	
Match			
Team	1.42 ± 0.16 (1.39 - 1.44)	1.54 ± 0.21 (1.50 - 1.57)	0.017 (11)
Skill group			
Single Skill	1.38 ± 0.18 (1.35 - 1.41)	1.51 ± 0.20 (1.48 - 1.55)	0.001 (8.83)
All rounder	1.50 ± 0.41 (1.43 - 1.57)	1.62 ± 0.52 (1.53 - 1.70)	

Table 3. Mean \pm SD team injury statuses and differences between winning and losing series and matches over the 32-month observational period. Percentage differences ($\Delta\%$), Cohen’s D effect sizes, magnitude based inference (MBI) are also presented.

Team injury status		$\Delta\%$	<i>P</i> - Value	Effect size	MBI qualitative inference
Win	Loss				
Series					
1.41 ± 0.16	1.60 ± 0.20	12.0	<.001	1.06	Effect very likely
Match					
1.42 ± 0.16	1.54 ± 0.21	7.8	<.001	0.66	Effect likely

Table 4. Mean \pm SD skill group injury statuses and differences in injury status between Single Skill players and All-Rounders in winning and losing series and matches over the 32-month observational period. Percentage differences ($\Delta\%$), Cohen's D effect sizes, magnitude based inference (MBI) are also presented.

Injury status		$\Delta\%$	<i>P</i> - Value	Effect size	MBI qualitative inference
Single Skill	All-Rounder				
Series – win					
1.38 ± 0.17	1.45 ± 0.43	5.5	0.042	0.43	Effect possible
Series – loss					
1.58 ± 0.19	1.67 ± 0.50	5.6	0.057	0.44	Effect possible
Match – win					
1.38 ± 0.18	1.50 ± 0.41	8.3	<0.001	0.49	Effect possible
Match – loss					
1.51 ± 0.20	1.62 ± 0.52	6.8	<0.001	0.46	Effect possible